I SITTING

POSITIONS OF NEBULÆ. Series I.

By C. H. F. PETERS.

The positions here presented are derived graphically from my manuscript charts, which have about the scale of Chacornac's. The accuracy thus attained, relatively to direct measurements, increases with the difficulty of the objects. The fainter nebulæ, those of Herschel's Cl. III., can be drawn by allineation between the small neighbouring stars with greater accuracy, than the determination of their places by instrumental measurements seems to permit, except when many times repeated. Since the co-ordinates, by using a subdivided scale, can be read off with ease to one or two-tenths of a minute, I estimate for faint nebulæ the probable error to be not much greater than $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3}$, so that the place lies certainly within the little area subtended by them. For nebulæ of greater brightness and covering a larger area, the graphical method on the contrary loses in comparative advantage, and for those that have a nucleus or condensed centre of light, the micrometrical measurement of course is always superior.

But the manner in which they are obtained, gives positions of this kind a special value, in that they are naturally free from all such accidental mistakes, as are found in the catalogues and originate either in observation or in reduction. Considering especially the imperfect mounting of the Herschelian reflectors for accurate measures, there always remains a certain uncertainty in regard to the position, or to the existence on the indicated place of nebulæ observed only once, so that any check of them is welcome. About half the number in the following list are of this sort.

I have called a nebula *nova*, when not found in Sir John Herschel's "General Catalogue," nor in Mr. Dreyer's Supplement. The epoch is the same as of that catalogue (1860·0), and where the notes have no remark, the difference in position is but slight. It will be remembered that, according to D'Arrest's investigation, the probable error of an observation by Sir John is 15" in \mathbb{R} (for the northern catalogue; for the Cape-catalogue a little smaller, only 12"·7), and 19" in declination. The places of Sir W. Herschel for the years before 1785, in which by far the greater portion of his observations were made, have an error of ± 2 '·5 in \mathbb{R} , and of ± 1 ' 5 in declination.

No of Gen. Cat.	186	0.0.	
	A.	Decl.	Notes.
211 5150 238 5159 5161 5162 7 263	1 ^h 0 ^m 11 ^s 1 0 56 1 5 46 1 7 40 1 8 43 1 11 1 1 11 54	+ 0° 10'·6 + 3 46·6 + 0 14·0 + 1 10·8 + 4 26·3 + 3 28·6 + 2 35·0	### R in G. C. 7* too small (Marth 35). Marth 37. Marth 39. #### R in G. C. 6* too small (Marth 40).

	18	30-0.	
No. of Gen. Cat.	Æ.	Decl.	Notes.
469 5164 470 264	1 ^h 12 ^w 8 ^s 1 12 32	+14° 9'.8 + 2 39.8	Decl. in G. C. about 2' too small (Marth 41). The place in the G. C. had already been corrected by Dreyer from Schultz and D'Arrest.
47/ 5165 475 5166	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} +14 & 4.1 \\ +14 & 8.4 \\ +2 & 40.1 \end{array}$	Marth 42. R in G. C. about 15° too small (Marth 43). where Nation To This agrees with Dreyer's correction.
474 269 479 5168 488 276 483 281	1 14 6 1 14 32 1 14 56	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R in G. C. 7 too small (Marth 45).
500 290 510 5174 514 298	1 15 24 1 16 10 1 16 42	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Stephan VIII.
520 303 51 304 53 315	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
622 366 627 372	1 19 32 1 28 52 1 29 14 1 29 56	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} + & 1 & 17.0 \\ - & 0 & 3.2 \\ + & 15 & 3.0 \\ + & 5 & 8.0 \end{array} $	Messier 74.
632 375 645 5192 644 393 3121 2010	1 29 56 1 32 52 1 36 29 9 59 16	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Marth 51.
ジェ 2015 ジェ 2026	10 0 43 10 5 22	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R in G. Cat. differs about 15', as h. 677; but H. III. 53 agrees better.
3230 2091 3277 2147 3300 2148	10 16 16 10 28 58 10 29 11	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Poth
3328 Nova	10 32 33 10 33 11	+10 2.0 + 9 54.6	A very faint nebula, and distinct from the following. Both were seen 1880, Mar. 27 and 28. Schönfeld and Vogel, see Dreyer's Suppl., p. 392.
3354 2175 3342 2177 3345 2179	10 34 43 10 35 21 10 36 9	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Repeatedly examined; I see two very faint stars, perhaps surrounded by nebula, (? an ellipse with stellar foci). Comp. what Dreyer says in Suppl., p. 392.
3357 2184 3367 2193	10 36 31 10 39 9	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Messier 95.
3348 2194 3371 2196	10 39 23 16 39 36	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Messier 96. h 751. Dreyer says: "h 751 and 753 not seen in Birr, Copenhagen, Upsala, and Leipzig (Vogel)." 2192 was distinctly seen by me 1880, Mar. 2; but 2198, the third of the "triple nebula," could not be found.
3377 2201 3379 2203 3354 2207 3359 2211	10 40 17 10 40 25 10 40 54 10 41 6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Méchain.
3587 2211 3412 2229 3433 2240 3416 2261	10 43 31 10 44 42 10 48 59	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
3467 2262 3487 2276 3491 2277	10 49 34 10 52 54 10 53 17	$\begin{array}{c cccc} +10 & 30.2 \\ +14 & 39.0 \\ +12 & 55.4 \\ +11 & 49.0 \end{array}$	
35 24 2303 3662 2403 3679 2417	10 55 52 10 59 12 11 16 37 11 18 55	$ \begin{vmatrix} +11 & 49.0 \\ +12 & 7.9 \\ -0 & 21.3 \\ -5 & 5.0 \end{vmatrix} $	

-	1860 0.			_		,		
_	No. of Gen. Cat.		Æ			Dec	el.	Notes,
3705 3910 3915		11 ^b 11 11	22' 43 43	54° 21 23	++-	10° 7 4	1'·6 20·4 22·2	Æ in G. C. from 15' to 20' too small, and also the declination differs rather much. The nebula is vL, and not eS, as H. III. 113 has it.
3952 3976 4006 4043	$\begin{array}{c} 2626 \\ 2647 \\ 2673 \end{array}$	11 11 11 11	46 48 50 55	32 45 55 12	+++	3 7 1 5	13·3 31·6 20·3 6·6	The NPD in G. C. would be improved by rejecting the first observation of h. 1055, which was only "a rough estimate."
4079 4116 4173	2703 2728 2733 5623	11 12 12 12	57 0 1 2	37 28 2 30	+++	1 3 3 2	36·8 27·8 39·7 32·7	R and NPD in G. C. not good. D'Arrest's Decl. is over 1' larger, but he calls the nebula
4140 4179 4180 4182	2776 2777	12 12 12	5 5 6	43 56 11	+++	$\frac{2}{7}$	4.2 48.8 49.0	visu difficilis." Very small. Seen with a higher power the nebu sperhaps
	2785 2805 2813 2823 2821 2822	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	6 8 9 9 9 9	45 44 26 57 58 59 16	++++++	7 7 8 8 7 4 7	58·5 10·4 13·6 24·1 57·8 27·4 27·3	may turn out to be only a group of a few very faint stars. R in G. C. 5 smaller, (_ star's place for h. 1130 ?).
4249 4252 4257 4257 4260 4259	5629 5630 Nova 2840 2843 2842	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	10 11 11 12 12 12	48 46 57 13 15 18	++++++	6 6 6 6 6	22.7 21.5 33.5 30.0 52.5 36.4 9.7	Marth 234. Marth 235. S, pm bM. R in G. C. 5', and Deel. 2'.7 smaller. The only observation, h. 1178, has NPD for 1830, thus: 83° 43′ ±, and the apparent accuracy to tenths of seconds of are in G. C. is but the result of reduction.
4264 4266 4270 4269 4273 4277	5632 5070	12 12 12 12 12 12	12 12 12 12 12 12	28 39 42 43 47 56	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	6 6 6 6	37·7 3·9 14·8 47·4 7·2 7·4	Schönfeld and D'Arrest. Schönfeld and D'Arrest. Schultz; see Dreyer's Suppl., p. 393. A very faint nebula
y'z81 y z 9 2 y z 96 - 429° 430° 450' 452' 452' 453' 453'	2857 5633 5634 2870 2872-3 2876 2878 Nova 2892 2893 2893 2904	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	12 13 13 14 14 14 14 14 15 16 16	59 13 13 40 7 20 30 45 56 58 5 15	+++++++++++	8 6 6 6 5 7 6 5 9 6 6 6 6 6	27·4 9·7 20·7 24·4 22·3 25·6 9·1 15·2 49·5 2·4 51·1 49·6 51·2	indeed. pF, pL Decl. in G. C. 2' too great (Marth 237). Marth 238. Messier 61. pL, not vF.
4507 4524 4326 4333	Nova 2892 2893 2899 2904	12 12 12 12	14 15 16 16	56 58 5 15	++++++	9 6 6 6	49·5 2·4 51·1 49·6	

	No. of Gen. Cat.		186	i0·0.		
Nec		4	Æ.	Dec	el.	Notes.
4347	Nova	12h 1	16 ^m 42•	_ 2°	27'-7	This hardly can be G. C. 2911 (b. 1226 = II. 625): 12"16" 43'; = 2° 40'3 from 2 obs. of h. with which H. also agrees nearly; but upon my chart I find no nebula drawn in this place.
4358 4365 4370 4378		12 1 12 1	16 58 17 20 17 47 18 11	+ 8 + 8 + 8 + 5	35·2 5·0 14·3 41·6	The R in G. C. ought to be corrected by + 1 ^m , as indicated also by the note to h. 1228 (in Ph. Tr. 1833), which, however, should read: "nisi R = 12 ^h 16 ^m 37.5," instead of 25.4, probably by mistake repeated from the preceding note.
441 441 441 442 443 443	2970	12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1	0 18	+ 1 + 3 + 9 + 4 + 9 + 8 - 0 + 3 - 7 + 7 + 8 - 12	20·9 16·6 38·6 47·7 43·6 12·8 42·4 6·4 16·1 2·8 2·3 55·7 32·3	Marth 239. F. pL. The A in G. C. is 10 smaller, but the neb. is very large.
679	7 Nova - Nova	19 2 21 4	0 25	_ 25 _ 13	56·6 37·7	* 9" att. f. Very small, but not very faint; nearly south of a star 11". In the position of G. C. 6010 (Marth 454): 21" 40" 1', 13" 53', I have no nebula drawn upon my chart.
717 713 769 740 741	4723 4728 5 4738 3 4851 6 6094 6 6099 3 Nova	21 5 21 5 21 5 22 4 22 4 22 4 22 4 22 5	3 28 4 38 4 22 6 42 8 23	- 17 - 13 - 19 - 6 - 7 - 6 - 7	10·7 56·9 35·5 17·7 19·0 15·0 6·5	Marth 503. Marth 507. vS. * 11" n, close to it.

RECENT MEASURES OF DOUBLE STARS.

By C. E. BURTON.

The measures here given were obtained with a filar micrometer attached to a 12ⁱⁿ-reflector, equatorially mounted and provided with a Right Ascension movement of sufficient smoothness and steadiness to permit of sharp photographs of the Moon being taken with exposures amounting to 30 seconds.

(1) Sirius A & B 1874, Oct. 26, $6^{\rm h}$ $30^{\rm m}$ to $7^{\rm h}$ local sid. time at Rodriguez. P = $57^{\rm o}\cdot 5$ mean of 4 measures, Epoch 1874·83.

Though the preceding result depends on a single set of measures (1 night) the circumstances were exceptionally favourable, the stars being nearly in the zenith, and the companion so brilliant that the measures were made and the micrometer